Estimating the gap of finite metric spaces of strict p-negative type

Reinhard Wolf

Universität Salzburg, Fachbereich Mathematik, Austria

arXiv:1604.06434v1 [math.MG] 21 Apr 2016

ARTICLEINFO

Article history:
Received TT month JJJJ
Accepted TT month JJJJ
Available online TT month JJJJ

Submitted by N.N.

Keywords:
Finite metric spaces
p-negative type
Matrices of negative type

ABSTRACT

Let (X, d) be a finite metric space. This paper first discusses the spectrum of the p-distance matrix of a finite metric space of p-negative type and then gives upper and lower bounds for the so called gap $\Gamma(X, p)$ of a finite metric space of strict p-negative type. Furthermore estimations for $\Gamma(X, p)$ under a certain glueing construction for finite metric spaces are given and finally be applied to finite ultrametric spaces.

1 Introduction

Let (X, d) be a metric space and $p > 0$. Recall that (X, d) has p-negative type if for all natural numbers n, all $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ in X and all real numbers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$ with $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_n = 0$ the inequality

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \alpha_i \alpha_j d(x_i, x_j)^p \leq 0$$

holds.
Moreover if (X, d) has p-negative type and

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \alpha_i \alpha_j d(x_i, x_j)^p = 0, \text{ together with } x_i \neq x_j, \text{ for all } i \neq j$$

implies $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \cdots = \alpha_n = 0$, then (X, d) has strict p-negative type.

Following [2, 3] we define the p-negative type gap $\Gamma(X,p)$ of a p-negative type metric space (X,d) as the largest nonnegative constant, such that

$$\frac{\Gamma(X,p)}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}|\alpha_i|\right)^2+\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\alpha_i\alpha_j d(x_i,x_j)^p\leq 0$$

holds for all natural numbers n, all $x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n$ in X with $x_i\neq x_j$, for all $i\neq j$, and all real numbers $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_n$ with $\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\ldots+\alpha_n=0$.

The above defined p-negative type gap $\Gamma(X,p)$ can be used to enlarge the p-parameter, for wich a given finite metric space is of p-negative type: It is shown in [9] (Theorem 3.3) that a finite metric space (X,d) with cardinality $n=|X|\geq 3$ of strict p-negative type is of strict q-negative type for all $q\in[p,p+\xi)$ where

$$\xi=\frac{ln\left(1+\frac{\Gamma(X,p)}{\Delta(X)^p\cdot\gamma(n)}\right)}{ln\ \mathcal{D}(X)},$$

with

$$\Delta(X)=\max_{x,y\in X}d(x,y),$$

$$\mathcal{D}(X)=\Delta(X)\cdot\left(\min_{\substack{x,y\in X\\ x\neq y}}d(x,y)\right)^{-1}\text{ and}$$

$$\gamma(n)=1-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor^{-1}+\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil^{-1}\right).$$

For basic information on p-negative type spaces (1-negative type spaces are also known as quasihypermetric spaces) see for example [2, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18].

After section 1 and 2 (introduction, notation and basic definitions) of this paper we discuss the spectrum of the p-distance matrices of finite metric spaces of p-negative type in section 3 and then focus our attention to upper and lower bounds (section 4,5) for the p-negative gap $\Gamma(X,p)$ of finite metric spaces of strict p-negative type.

In section 6 we estimate $\Gamma(X,p)$ for a certain glueing construction for finite metric spaces and finally apply these results to finite ultrametric spaces in section 7.

2 Notation and basic definitions

Elements x in $\mathbb{R}^n$ are interpretated as column vectors, so $x^T=(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n)$. The canonical inner product of two elements x,y in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is given by $(x|y)$ and the canonical unit vectors are denoted by $e_1,e_2,\ldots,e_n$. The element $\mathbb{1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as $\mathbb{1}^T=(1,1,\ldots,1)$. The usual p-norm ($p\geq 1$) on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is given by $\|x\|_p=(\sum_{i=1}^n|x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, for

$x^T = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$.
The subspace F_0 and the affine subspace F_1 are $F_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | (x|\mathbb{1}) = 0\}$ and $F_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | (x|\mathbb{1}) = 1\}$.

Let E be a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and A be a real symmetric $n \times n$ matrix. We say A is of nonnegative type (positive type) on E if $(Ax|x) \geq 0$, for all x in E ($(Ax|x) > 0$, for all $x \neq 0$ in E). Hence A is positive semidefinite (positive definite) if and only if A is of nonnegative type (positive type) on $E = \mathbb{R}^n$. We say A is of negative type (strict negative type) on E if $(Ax|x) \leq 0$, for all x in E ($(Ax|x) < 0$, for all $x \neq 0$ in E).

For a given finite metric space (X, d), $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ we make the following definitions:
The $n \times n$ p-distance matrix ($p > 0$) $D_p(X)$ (for short D_p, if the underlying space X is clear from context) is given by

$$D_p(X)(= D_p) = (d(x_i, x_j)^p)_{i,j=1}^n .$$

The diameter $\Delta(X)$ (for short Δ, if the underlying space X is clear from context) is defined as

$$\Delta(X)(= \Delta) = \max_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} d(x_i, x_j).$$

For a given $\alpha > 0$ the finite metric space $(X, \alpha d)$ is written as $\alpha X((\alpha d)(x_i, x_j) = \alpha d(x_i, x_j))$. Finally the discrete metric space consisting of n points is denoted by X_n.

3 Finite metric spaces of p-negative type and the spectrum of their p-distance matrices

The following result by Crouzeix and Ferland was used in [6] (Theorem 2.2) to characterize Euclidean distance matrices:

Theorem 3.1. *(Theorem 4.1 in [1]) Let A be a real symmetric $n \times n$ matrix and a in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $a \neq 0$. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *A is of nonnegative type on $E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | (x|a) = 0\}$*
2. *A is positive semidefinite or A has just one negative eigenvalue and there exists b in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $Ab = a$, where $(a|b) \leq 0$.*

Let (X, d), $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a finite metric space. By definition (X, d) is of p-negative type if and only if D_p is of negative type on F_0, i. e. $(D_p x|x) \leq 0$ for all x in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $(x|\mathbb{1}) = 0$.
If (X, d) has at least two points ($x_i \neq x_j$) then $(-D_p(e_i + e_j)|e_i + e_j) = -2d(x_i, x_j)^p < 0$ and hence -D_p is not positive semidefinite.

Applying Theorem 3.1 to $A = -D_p$ and $a = \mathbb{1}$ we obtain

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X, d) be a finite metric space of at least two points and let $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of the p-distance matrix D_p of X. The following are equivalent:*

1. *(X, d) is of p-negative type*
2. *$\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{n-1} \leq 0 < \lambda_n$ and there exists some b in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $D_p b = \mathbb{1}$ and $(b|\mathbb{1}) \geq 0$.*

It was shown in [16] that a given finite metric space (X, d) of p-negative type of at least two points is of strict p-negative type if and only if D_p is non singular and $(D_p^{-1}\mathbb{1}|\mathbb{1}) \neq 0$. Combining this result with Theorem 3.2 we get

Theorem 3.3. *Let (X, d) be a finite metric space of at least two points and let $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of the p-distance matrix D_p of X. The following are equivalent:*

1. *(X, d) is of strict p-negative type*
2. *$\lambda_1 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{n-1} < 0 < \lambda_n$ and $(D_p^{-1}\mathbb{1}|\mathbb{1}) > 0$.*

Remark 3.4. Let (X, d) be a finite metric space of p-negative type of at least two points. By Theorem 3.2 there exists some b in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $D_p b = \mathbb{1}$ and $(b|\mathbb{1}) \geq 0$. Note that the value $(b|\mathbb{1})$ is independent of the choice of b:
Let $D_p b = D_p b' = \mathbb{1}$ then $(b|\mathbb{1}) = (b|D_p b') = (D_p b|b') = (b'|\mathbb{1})$.

Definition 3.5. Let (X, d), $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a finite metric space with p-distance matrix D_p.

$$M_p(X)(= M_p) := \sup_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\ldots+\alpha_n=1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \alpha_i \alpha_j d(x_i, x_j)^p$$

In short form

$$M_p = \sup_{x \in F_1} (D_p x|x)$$

Remark 3.6. Let (X, d), $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a finite metric space of at least two points ($x_i \neq x_j$) then

$$\left(D_p\left(\frac{e_i + e_j}{2}\right)\middle|\frac{e_i + e_j}{2}\right) = \frac{d(x_i, x_j)^p}{2} > 0$$

and therefore $M_p \in (0, \infty]$. For $X = \{x\}$ we clearly have $M_p(\{x\}) = 0$.

The constant M_p was studied in [12, 13, 14, 15] for general compact metric spaces of 1-negative type, it also appears in the study of Euclidean distance matrices (see Corollary 3.2 in [7]). Following the ideas of these papers we obtain

Theorem 3.7. *(Compare to Theorem 3.1 in [12]) Let (X, d) be a finite metric space of at least two points. If $M_p < \infty$ then (X, d) is of p-negative type.*

Proof. Assume (X, d) is not of p-negative type, then there is some $x_0 \in F_0$ such that $(D_p x_0|x_0) > 0$. Choose some w in F_1 and let $x_n = nx_0 + w$. Note that $x_n \in F_1$ and $(D_p x_n|x_n) = n^2(D_p x_0|x_0) + 2n(D_p x_0|w) + (D_p w|w)$. Hence $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(D_p x_n|x_n) = \infty$ and therefore $M_p = \infty$.

□

Theorem 3.8. *Let (X, d) be a finite metric space of p-negative type of at least two points. Further let b in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $D_p b = \mathbb{1}$ and $(b|\mathbb{1}) \geq 0$ (see Theorem 3.2 and Remark 3.4). The following are equivalent:*

1. *$(b|\mathbb{1}) > 0$*
2. *$M_p < \infty$*

Moreover if $(b|\mathbb{1}) > 0$ then $M_p = (b|\mathbb{1})^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $(b|\mathbb{1}) > 0$. Let $u = \frac{b}{(b|\mathbb{1})}$, so $u \in F_1$ and let x be in F_1. Since (X, d) is of p-negative type we get $(D_p(x-u)|x-u) \leq 0$ and hence $(D_p x|x) \leq (b|\mathbb{1})^{-1}$ and $(D_p u|u) = (b|\mathbb{1})^{-1}$. Therefore $M_p < \infty$ and $M_p = (b|\mathbb{1})^{-1}$.

Now let $M_p < \infty$ and assume $(b|\mathbb{1}) = 0$. Fix some w in F_1 and let $x_n = w + nb$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $x_n \in F_1$ and $(D_p x_n|x_n) = (D_p w|w) + 2n(D_p b|w) + n^2(D_p b|b) = (D_p w|w) + 2n$. Hence $\sup_{x\in F_1}(D_p x|x) = \infty$, a contradiction to $M_p < \infty$.

□

Corollary 3.9. *Let (X, d) be a finite metric space of at least two points and let $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of the p-distance matrix D_p of X. The following are equivalent:*

1. *(X, d) is of strict p-negative type*
2. *$\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{n-1} < 0 < \lambda_n$ and $M_p < \infty$.*

Proof. Combine Theorem 3.3, Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.8.

Remark 3.10. Let (X, d) be a finite metric space of strict p-negative type. By Theorem 3.3 we know that D_p is non singular and $(D_p^{-1}\mathbb{1}|\mathbb{1}) > 0$. If (X, d) consists of at least two

points define

$$u_p := \frac{D_p^{-1}\mathbb{1}}{(D_p^{-1}\mathbb{1}|\mathbb{1})}$$

and recall $M_p = (D_p^{-1}\mathbb{1}|\mathbb{1})^{-1}$ by Theorem 3.8. Hence $D_p u_p = M_p\mathbb{1}$ with u_p in F_1.

If (X,d) consists of a single point ($X = \{x\}$) we have $D_p u_p = M_p\mathbb{1}$ again, for $u_p = \mathbb{1}$ since $M_p(\{x\}) = 0$.

So in any case there is a uniquely defined element u_p in F_1 with $D_p u_p = M_p\mathbb{1}$.

4 An upper bound for $\Gamma(X,p)$

We first need the following

Lemma 4.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$ and $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ be a real $n \times n$ matrix with $b_{ii} = 0$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. For $n = 2m$ let $M_1 = \{x \in \{-1,1\}^n || \{1 \leq i \leq n | x_i = 1\}| = m\}$ and for $n = 2m+1$ let $M_2 = \{x \in \{-1-\frac{1}{m}, 1\}^n || \{1 \leq i \leq n | x_i = 1\}| = m+1\}$. Then we have*

1. *For $n = 2m$*

$$\frac{1}{|M_1|}\sum_{x\in M_1}(Bx|x) = (-n)\left(\frac{1}{n(n-1)}\sum_{i\neq j} b_{ij}\right)$$

2. *For $n = 2m+1$*

$$\frac{1}{|M_2|}\sum_{x\in M_2}(Bx|x) = \left(-\frac{(m+1)n}{m}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n(n-1)}\sum_{i\neq j} b_{ij}\right)$$

Proof. For $n = 2m$ and $i \neq j$ we get

$$\sum_{x\in M_1} x_i x_j = 1\cdot 1\cdot\binom{2m-2}{m-2} + 2\cdot 1\cdot(-1)\binom{2m-2}{m-1} + (-1)(-1)\binom{2m-2}{m}$$

and hence

$$\frac{1}{|M_1|}\sum_{x\in M_1} x_i x_j = -\frac{1}{n-1}$$

For $n = 2m+1$ and $i \neq j$ we get

$$\sum_{x\in M_2} x_i x_j = 1\cdot 1\cdot\binom{2m-1}{m-1} + 2\cdot 1\cdot\left(-1-\frac{1}{m}\right)\binom{2m-1}{m} + \left(-1-\frac{1}{m}\right)^2\binom{2m-1}{m+1}$$

and hence

$$\frac{1}{|M_2|}\sum_{x\in M_2} x_i x_j = -\frac{m+1}{m(n-1)}$$

In each case $(n=2m, n=2m+1)$ we have

$$\frac{1}{|M_k|}\sum_{x\in M_k}(Bx|x) = \sum_{i\neq j} b_{ij}\left(\frac{1}{|M_k|}\sum_{x\in M_k} x_i x_j\right),$$

for $k\in\{1,2\}$ and so we are done.

□

Theorem 4.2. *Let (X,d), $X=\{x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n\}$ be a finite metric space of p-negative type of at least two points. Then we have*

$$\Gamma(X,p) \leq \left(\frac{1}{n(n-1)}\sum_{i\neq j} d(x_i,x_j)^p\right)\Gamma(X_n,p),$$

where X_n denotes the discrete metric space consisting of n points, where

$$\Gamma(X_n,p) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor^{-1} + \left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil^{-1}\right) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2}{n}, & n \text{ even} \\ \dfrac{2}{n-\frac{1}{n}}, & n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By definition of $\Gamma(X,p)$ we have

$$\Gamma(X,p) \leq \frac{2(Bx|x)}{\|x\|_1^2},$$

for all $x\in F_0$, $x\neq 0$ with $B=-D_p$.

Note that M_1 and M_2 defined as in Lemma 4.1 are both subsets of F_0. Hence we get

$$\Gamma(X,p) \leq \frac{1}{|M_k|}\sum_{x\in M_k}\frac{2(Bx|x)}{\|x\|_1^2},$$

for $k=1(n=2m)$ and $k=2(n=2m+1)$.

Now $\|x\|_1=n$, for all x in $M_1(n=2m)$ and $\|x\|_1=n+1$, for all x in $M_2(n=2m+1)$. So we get

$$\Gamma(X,p) \leq \begin{cases} \dfrac{2}{n^2}\dfrac{1}{|M_1|}\displaystyle\sum_{x\in M_1}(Bx|x), & n=2m \\ \dfrac{2}{(n+1)^2}\dfrac{1}{|M_2|}\displaystyle\sum_{x\in M_2}(Bx|x), & n=2m+1 \end{cases}$$

Applying Lemma 4.1 to $B = -D_p$ and since

$$\Gamma(X_n,p) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2}{n}\,, & n = 2m \\ \dfrac{2}{n-\frac{1}{n}}, & n = 2m+1 \end{cases}$$

as shown in [18], finishes the proof.

□

Corollary 4.3. *Let (X,d), $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a finite metric space of p-negative type of at least two points. Then we have*

$$\Gamma(X,p) \leq \Gamma(\Delta(X)\cdot X_n, p) = \begin{cases} \Delta(X)^p \cdot \dfrac{2}{n}\,, n \text{ even} \\ \Delta(X)^p \cdot \dfrac{2}{n-\frac{1}{n}}, \ n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

where X_n denotes the discrete space consisting of n points and $\Delta(X)$ denotes the diameter of X.

Moreover equality holds if and only if (X,d) is isometrically isomorphic to $\Delta(X)\cdot X_n$.

Proof. By definition of $\Gamma(X,p)$ and since

$$\Gamma(X_n,p) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2}{n}\,, & n \text{ even} \\ \dfrac{2}{n-\frac{1}{n}}, & n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

as shown in [18] we have

$$\Gamma(\Delta(X)\cdot X_n, p) = \Delta(X)^p \cdot \Gamma(X_n,p) = \begin{cases} \Delta(X)^p \cdot \dfrac{2}{n}\,, & n \text{ even} \\ \Delta(X)^p \cdot \dfrac{2}{n-\frac{1}{n}}, & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Now assume that (X,d) is not isometrically isomorphic to $\Delta(X)\cdot X_n$. Hence there exists $i \neq j$ such that $d(x_i,x_j) < \Delta(X)$. By Theorem 4.2 we get

$$\Gamma(X,p) < \Delta(X)^p \cdot \Gamma(X_n,p).$$

□

5 Bounds for $\Gamma(X,p)$ involving the spectrum

Theorem 5.1. *Let (X,d), $X=\{x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n\}$ be a finite metric space of strict p-negative type of at least two points. Let $\lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \ldots \le \lambda_{n-1} < 0 < \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of the p-distance matrix D_p of X (see Theorem 3.3). Then we have*

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_n}{nM_p}\right)|\lambda_{n-1}|\Gamma(X_n,p) \le \Gamma(X,p) \le |\lambda_{n-1}|,$$

where X_n denotes the discrete metric space consisting of n points, where

$$\Gamma(X_n,p) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2}{n}\ , & n \text{ even} \\ \dfrac{2}{n-\frac{1}{n}}\ , & n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

and $M_p = (D_p^{-1}\mathbb{1}|\mathbb{1})^{-1}$ (see Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.8)

Proof. The upper bound:
We first show, that $\|x\|_2^2 \le \frac{1}{2}$, for all x in F_0 with $\|x\|_1 = 1$. Assume (w. l. o. g.) that x in F_0 with $\|x\|_1 = 1$ is given by $x=(x_1,\ldots,x_k,-x_{k+1},\ldots,-x_n)$, with $x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_k > 0, x_{k+1},\ldots,x_n \le 0$ and $1 \le k \le n-1$.

Since $x \in F_0$ and $\|x\|_1 = 1$ we get $x_1+\ldots+x_k = x_{k+1}+\ldots+x_n = \frac{1}{2}$. Hence $\|x\|_2^2 = (x_1^2+\ldots+x_k^2)+(x_{k+1}^2+\ldots+x_n^2) \le (x_1+\ldots+x_k)^2+(x_{k+1}+\ldots+x_n)^2 = \frac{1}{2}$.

Note that the eigenvalues of $-D_p$ are $-\lambda_n < 0 < |\lambda_{n-1}| \le \ldots \le |\lambda_1|$. Applying the well known Courent-Fisher Theorem we get

$$|\lambda_{n-1}| = \sup_E \left(\inf_{\substack{x\in E \\ x\neq 0}} \frac{(-D_p x|x)}{\|x\|_2^2} \right),$$

where the supremum is taken over all $n-1$ dimensional subspaces E of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore

$$|\lambda_{n-1}| \ge \inf_{\substack{x\in F_0 \\ x\neq 0}} \frac{(-D_p x|x)}{\|x\|_2^2}.$$

Since $\|x\|_2^2 \le \frac{1}{2}$, for all x in F_0 with $\|x\|_1 = 1$ (as shown above) we obtain

$$|\lambda_{n-1}| \ge \inf_{\substack{x\in F_0 \\ x\neq 0}} \frac{(-D_p x|x)}{\|x\|_1^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\|\frac{x}{\|x\|_1}\|_2^2} \ge 2 \inf_{\substack{x\in F_0 \\ x\neq 0}} \frac{(-D_p x|x)}{\|x\|_1^2}.$$

Since (by definition)

$$\frac{\Gamma(X,p)}{2} = \inf_{\substack{x\in F_0 \\ x\neq 0}} \frac{(-D_p x|x)}{\|x\|_1^2},$$

we get $|\lambda_{n-1}| \geq \Gamma(X,p)$.

The lower bound:
Let $\{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis consisting of eigenvectors of D_p ($D_p f_i = \lambda_i f_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$).
By the well known Frobenius - Perron Theorem we know that all coordinates of f_n are nonnegative and hence $(\mathbb{1}|f_n) > 0$. For x in F_0 we get $0 = (x|1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(x|f_i)(\mathbb{1}|f_i)$ and therefore $(x|f_n)^2 = \frac{1}{(\mathbb{1}|f_n)^2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(x|f_i)(\mathbb{1}|f_i)\right)^2$

Since

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(x|f_i)(\mathbb{1}|f_i)\right)^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}|\lambda_i|^{\frac{1}{2}}(x|f_i)|\lambda_i|^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{1}|f_i)\right)^2$$
$$\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}|\lambda_i|(x|f_i)^2\right)\cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}|\lambda_i|^{-1}(\mathbb{1}|f_i)^2\right)$$

we obtain

$$(x|f_n)^2 \leq \frac{1}{(\mathbb{1}|f_n)^2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}|\lambda_i|(x|f_i)^2\right)\cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}|\lambda_i|^{-1}(\mathbb{1}|f_i)^2\right)$$

It follows that

$$(D_p x|x) = \lambda_n(x|f_n)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}|\lambda_i|(x|f_i)^2$$
$$\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}|\lambda_i|(x|f_i)^2\right)\cdot\left(\frac{\lambda_n}{(\mathbb{1}|f_n)^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}|\lambda_i|^{-1}(\mathbb{1}|f_i)^2 - 1\right)$$

Since $(D_p^{-1}\mathbb{1}|\mathbb{1}) = \lambda_n^{-1}(\mathbb{1}|f_n)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}|\lambda_i|^{-1}(\mathbb{1}|f_i)^2$ we obtain

$$(-D_p x|x) \geq \frac{\lambda_n}{(\mathbb{1}|f_n)^2}(D_p^{-1}\mathbb{1}|\mathbb{1})\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}|\lambda_i|(x|f_i)^2\right)$$

Recall that

$$(x|f_n)^2 = \frac{1}{(\mathbb{1}|f_n)^2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(x|f_i)(\mathbb{1}|f_i)\right)^2$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{(\mathbb{1}|f_n)^2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(x|f_i)^2\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(\mathbb{1}|f_i)^2\right).$$

Now

$$\|x\|_2^2 = (x|f_n)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(x|f_i)^2 \leq$$
$$\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(x|f_i)^2\right)\left(\frac{1}{(\mathbb{1}|f_n)^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(\mathbb{1}|f_i)^2 + 1\right) =$$
$$= \frac{n}{(\mathbb{1}|f_n)^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(x|f_i)^2$$

and hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(x|f_i)^2 \geq \frac{(\mathbb{1}|f_n)^2}{n}\|x\|_2^2.$$

Summing up we get

$$(-D_p x|x) \geq \frac{\lambda_n}{(\mathbb{1}|f_n)^2}(D_p^{-1}\mathbb{1}|\mathbb{1})\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}|\lambda_i|(x|f_i)^2\right) \geq$$
$$\geq \frac{\lambda_n}{(\mathbb{1}|f_n)^2}(D_p^{-1}\mathbb{1}|\mathbb{1})|\lambda_{n-1}|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(x|f_i)^2\right) \geq$$
$$\geq \frac{\lambda_n}{(\mathbb{1}|f_n)^2}(D_p^{-1}\mathbb{1}|\mathbb{1})|\lambda_{n-1}| \cdot \frac{(\mathbb{1}|f_n)^2}{n}\|x\|_2^2$$

So it follows that

$$(-D_p x|x) \geq \frac{|\lambda_{n-1}|\lambda_n}{nM_p}\|x\|_2^2,$$

for all x in F_0.

By definition of $\Gamma(X,p)$ we have

$$\Gamma(X,p) = 2 \cdot \inf_{\substack{x\in F_0\\ x\neq 0}} \frac{(-D_p x|x)}{\|x\|_1^2} = 2 \cdot \inf_{\substack{x\in F_0\\ \|x\|_1=1}} (-D_p x|x)$$

Therefore

$$\Gamma(X,p) \geq \frac{2|\lambda_{n-1}|\lambda_n}{nM_p} \cdot \inf_{\substack{x\in F_0\\ \|x\|_1=1}} \|x\|_2^2.$$

By definition of $\Gamma(X_n,p)$ we have

$$\Gamma(X_n,p) = 2 \cdot \inf_{\substack{x\in F_0\\ \|x\|_1=1}} (-D_p(X_n)x|x)$$
$$= 2 \cdot \inf_{\substack{x\in F_0\\ \|x\|_1=1}} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i e_i^T - \mathbb{1}\mathbb{1}^T\right)(x)|x\right) = 2 \cdot \inf_{\substack{x\in F_0\\ \|x\|_1=1}} \|x\|_2^2$$

and hence we are done.

□

Remark 5.2. The factor $\frac{\lambda_n}{nM_p}$ appearing in Theorem 5.1 is less or equal to one and one if and only if D_p has constant row sum: $(D_p^{-1}\mathbb{1}|\mathbb{1})^{-1} = M_p = \sup_{x\in F_1}(D_p x|x)$ and as noted in the proof of Theorem 5.1 we have $(\mathbb{1}|f_n) > 0$ and hence

$$M_p \geq \frac{1}{(\mathbb{1}|f_n)^2}(D_p f_n|f_n) = \frac{\lambda_n}{(\mathbb{1}|f_n)^2}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\lambda_n}{nM_p} \leq \frac{(\mathbb{1}|f_n)^2}{n} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\lambda_n}{nM_p} = 1$$

if and only if $f_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathbb{1}$, wich is equivalent to D_p has constant row sum.

Corollary 5.3. *Let (X,d), $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a finite metric space of strict p-negative type of at least two points. Let $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{n-1} < 0 < \lambda$ be the eigenvalues of the p-distance matrix D_p of X and assume that D_p has constant row sum. Then we have*

$$\Gamma(X,p) \geq |\lambda_{n-1}|\Gamma(X_n,p),$$

where X_n denotes the discrete metric space consisting of n points, where

$$\Gamma(X_n,p) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2}{n}\ ,\ n \quad \textit{even} \\ \dfrac{2}{n-\frac{1}{n}}\ ,\ n \quad \textit{odd.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover the inequality is sharp for $X = \Delta(X)X_n$.

Proof. Combine Theorem 5.1 and Remark 5.2 and note that the eigenvalues of the p-distance matrix of $\Delta(X)X_n$ are $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \ldots = \lambda_{n-1} = -\Delta(X)^p$ and $\lambda_n = (n-1)\Delta(X)^p$. Hence

$$\Gamma(\Delta(X)X_n,p) = \Delta(X)^p\Gamma(X_n,p) = |\lambda_{n-1}| \cdot \Gamma(X_n,p).$$

□

6 Estimating $\Gamma(X,p)$ for a certain glueing construction

In [17] Sánchez showed (Theorem 4.8) that $\Gamma(X,p)^{-1}$ behaves additively for an additive combination of finite metric spaces of strict p-negative type, where an additive combination of two metric spaces is, roughly speaking, a space made by picking a point in each space and glueing them together.

We now consider another glueing construction often used in [13, 14, 15].

Definition 6.1. (see Theorem 3.5 of [13])Let (X_1,d_1) and (X_2,d_2) be two finite metric spaces such that $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$. Further let $c > 0$ with $2c \geq \max(\Delta(X_1),\Delta(X_2))$. We define

$$X := X_1 \cup X_2$$

and $d : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$d(x,y) := \begin{cases} d_1(x,y), \text{ for } x,y \in X_1 \\ d_2(x,y), \text{ for } x,y \in X_2 \\ c, \text{ for } x \in X_1 \text{ and } y \in X_2 \\ c, \text{ for } x \in X_2 \text{ and } y \in X_1 \end{cases}$$

The space (X,d) will be denoted by (X_1cX_2,d).

The following result was shown in [13] (Theorem 3.5) for general compact metric spaces of 1-negative type. For completeness we add the proof now given in terms of matrices. Note that Corollary 3.9 implies that $M_p(X_1)$ and $M_p(X_2)$ are both finite, if (X_1,d_1) and (X_2,d_2) are of strict p-negative type.

Theorem 6.2. *Let (X_1,d_1) and (X_2,d_2) be two finite metric spaces and $c > 0$ such that $2c \geq \max(\Delta(X_1),\Delta(X_2))$. Then we have*

1. *(X_1cX_2,d) is a finite metric space.*
2. *If (X_1,d_1) and (X_2,d_2) are of p-negative type and $M_p(X_1)$ and $M_p(X_2)$ are both finite, then (X_1cX_2,d) is of p-negative type if and only if $2c^p \geq M_p(X_1) + M_p(X_2)$.*
3. *If (X_1,d_1) and (X_2,d_2) are of strict p-negative type then (X_1cX_2,d) is of strict p-negative type if and only if $2c^p > M_p(X_1) + M_p(X_2)$.*

Proof. assertion 1: clear, since $2c \geq \max(\Delta(X_1),\Delta(X_2))$

assertion 2: Let $|X_1| = n$ and $|X_2| = m$. By definition of X_1cX_2 the p-distance matrix $D_p(X_1cX_2)$ of X_1cX_2 is the $(n+m) \times (n+m)$ matrix given by

$$D_p = D_p(X_1cX_2) = \begin{bmatrix} D_p(X_1) & c^p \mathbb{1}\mathbb{1}^T \\ c^p \mathbb{1}\mathbb{1}^T & D_p(X_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

($\mathbb{1}$ denotes the vector consisting of all ones in arbitraty dimensions) Let $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$, $z^T = (x^T, y^T)$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$. We get

$$(D_p z|z) = (D_p(X_1)x|x) + (D_p(X_2)y|y) + 2c^p(x|\mathbb{1})(y|\mathbb{1}).$$

Assume that $(X_1 c X_2, d)$ is of p-negative type and $2c^p < M_p(X_1) + M_p(X_2)$. By definition of $M_p(X_1)$ and $M_p(X_2)$ there are $x_1 \in F_1$, $x_2 \in F_1$ such that $2c^p < (D_p(X_1)x_1|x_1) + (D_p(X_2)x_2|x_2)$. Let $z = (x_1^T, -x_2^T)^T$. Note that $(z|\mathbb{1}) = (x_1|\mathbb{1}) - (x_2|\mathbb{1}) = 0$.
Now $(D_p z|z) = (D_p(X_1)x_1|x_1)+(D_p(X_2)x_2|x_2)-2c^p(x_1|\mathbb{1})(x_2|\mathbb{1})$ and hence $(D_p z|z) = (D_p(X_1)x_1|x_1) + (D_p(X_2)x_2|x_2) - 2c^p > 0$, a contradiction to $(X_1 c X_2, d)$ is of p-negative type.

On the other hand let $2c^p \geq M_p(X_1) + M_p(X_2)$ and assume $z \in F_0(z^T = (x^T, y^T))$. Hence $0 = (z|\mathbb{1}) = (x|\mathbb{1}) + (y|\mathbb{1})$ and assume first that $(x|\mathbb{1}) = 0$. Then we get $(y|\mathbb{1}) = 0$ and $(D_p z|z) = (D_p(X_1)x|x) + (D_p(X_2)y|y) \leq 0$, since (X_1, d_1) and (X_2, d_2) are of p-negative type.
If $(x|\mathbb{1}) \neq 0$ we get $(y|\mathbb{1}) = -(x|\mathbb{1})$ and

$$(D_p z|z) = (x|\mathbb{1})^2 \left(D_p(X_1)\frac{x}{(x|\mathbb{1})}\Big|\frac{x}{(x|\mathbb{1})}\right) + (x|\mathbb{1})^2 \left(D_p(X_2)\frac{y}{(y|\mathbb{1})}\Big|\frac{y}{(y|\mathbb{1})}\right) - 2c^p(x|\mathbb{1})^2.$$

Therefore

$$(D_p z|z) \leq (x|\mathbb{1})^2(M_p(X_1) + M_p(X_2) - 2c^p) \leq 0.$$

In each case we have $(D_p z|z) \leq 0$, for all $z \in F_0$ and hence $(X_1 c X_2, d)$ is of p-negative type.

assertion 3: Assume that $(X_1 c X_2, d)$ is of strict p-negative type and $2c^p = M_p(X_1) + M_p(X_2)$. By Remark 3.10 we get $u_1 \in F_1$ and $u_2 \in F_2$ such that $D_p(X_1)u_1 = M_p(X_1)\mathbb{1}$ and $D_p(X_2)u_2 = M_p(X_2)\mathbb{1}$. Let $z = (u_1^T, -u_2^T)^T$. Note that $z \neq 0$ and $(z|\mathbb{1}) = (u_1|\mathbb{1}) - (u_2|\mathbb{1}) = 0$. Now $(D_p z|z) = M_p(X_1) + M_p(X_2) - 2c^p = 0$, a contradiction to $(X_1 c X_2, d)$ is of strict p-negative type.
On the other hand let $2c^p > M_p(X_1) + M_p(X_2)$ and assume $z \in F_0(z^T = (x^T, y^T))$ such that $(D_p z|z) = 0$.
If $(x|\mathbb{1}) = 0$ we get $(y|\mathbb{1}) = 0$ and so $0 = (D_p z|z) = (D_p(X_1)x|x)+(D_p(X_2)y|y) \leq 0$ implies $x = 0$ and $y = 0$ and hence $z = 0$, since (X_1, d_1) and (X_2, d_2) are of strict p-negative type. If $(x|\mathbb{1}) \neq 0$ we get $(y|\mathbb{1}) = -(x|\mathbb{1})$ and so

$$0 = (x|\mathbb{1})^2 \left(D_p(X_1)\frac{x}{(x|\mathbb{1})}\Big|\frac{x}{(x|\mathbb{1})}\right) + (x|\mathbb{1})^2 \left(D_p(X_2)\frac{y}{(y|\mathbb{1})}\Big|\frac{y}{(y|\mathbb{1})}\right) - 2c^p(x|\mathbb{1})^2$$

implies

$$0 = \left(D_p(X_1)\frac{x}{(x|\mathbb{1})}\Big|\frac{x}{(x|\mathbb{1})}\right) + \left(D_p(X_2)\frac{y}{(y|\mathbb{1})}\Big|\frac{y}{(y|\mathbb{1})}\right) - 2c^p$$
$$\leq M_p(X_1) + M_p(X_2) - 2c^p < 0,$$

a contradiction.

□

In order to estimate $\Gamma_p(X_1 c X_2)$ we need the following (the proof is straight forward)

Lemma 6.3. *1. Let A_1, A_2 be two real symmetric invertible $n \times n$, $m \times m$ matrices and c be a real number with $1 \neq c^2(x_1|\mathbb{1})(x_2|\mathbb{1})$, where $x_1 = A_1^{-1}\mathbb{1}$ and $x_2 = A_2^{-1}\mathbb{1}$. The inverse matrix of the $(n+m)\times(n+m)$ matrix*

$B = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & c\mathbb{1}\mathbb{1}^T \\ c\mathbb{1}\mathbb{1}^T & A_2 \end{bmatrix}$ *is given by*

$$B^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1^{-1} + \alpha x_1 x_1^T & \beta x_1 x_2^T \\ \beta x_2 x_1^T & A_2^{-1} + \gamma x_2 x_2^T \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\alpha = c^2(x_2|\mathbb{1})(1 - c^2(x_1|\mathbb{1})(x_2|\mathbb{1}))^{-1},$$
$$\beta = -c(1 - c^2(x_1|\mathbb{1})(x_2|\mathbb{1}))^{-1} \text{ and}$$
$$\gamma = c^2(x_1|\mathbb{1})(1 - c^2(x_1|\mathbb{1})(x_2|\mathbb{1}))^{-1}.$$

2. Let A be a real symmetric invertible $n \times n$ matrix with $(x|\mathbb{1}) \neq 0$, where $x = A^{-1}\mathbb{1}$. Further let c be a real number, $c \neq 0$. The inverse matrix of the $(n+1)\times(n+1)$ matrix $B = \begin{bmatrix} A & c\mathbb{1} \\ c\mathbb{1}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is given by

$$B^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1} - \frac{xx^T}{(x|\mathbb{1})} & \frac{1}{c(x|\mathbb{1})}x \\ \frac{1}{c(x|\mathbb{1})}x^T & -\frac{1}{c^2(x|\mathbb{1})} \end{bmatrix}$$

Corollary 6.4. *1. Let (X_1, d_1), (X_2, d_2); $|X_1| \geq 2$, $|X_2| \geq 2$ be two finite metric spaces of strict p-negative type. Further let c be a real number with $2c \geq \max(\Delta(X_1), \Delta(X_2))$ and $2c^p > M_p(X_1) + M_p(X_2)$. Then we have:*
The inverse matrix of $D_p(X_1 c X_2)$ is given by

$$D_p(X_1 c X_2)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} D_p(X_1)^{-1} + \alpha x_1 x_1^T & \beta x_1 x_2^T \\ \beta x_2 x_1^T & D_p(X_2)^{-1} + \gamma x_2 x_2^T \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= D_p(X_1)^{-1}\mathbb{1}, x_2 = D_p(X_2)^{-1}\mathbb{1}, \\ \alpha &= c^{2p}(x_2|\mathbb{1})(1-c^{2p}(x_1|\mathbb{1})(x_2|\mathbb{1}))^{-1}, \\ \beta &= -c^p(1-c^{2p}(x_1|\mathbb{1})(x_2|\mathbb{1}))^{-1} \text{ and} \\ \gamma &= c^{2p}(x_1|\mathbb{1})(1-c^{2p}(x_1|\mathbb{1})(x_2|\mathbb{1}))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

2. *Let (X_1,d_1), (X_2,d_2), $|X_1|\geq 2$, $|X_2|=1$ be two finite metric spaces of strict p-negative type. Further let c be a real number with $2c\geq\Delta(X_1)$ and $2c^p > M_p(X_1)$ (Recall $M_p(X_2)=0$).*

   The inverse matrix of $D_p(X_1cX_2)$ is given by

$$D_p(X_1cX_2)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} D_p(X_1)^{-1} - \frac{x_1x_1^T}{(x_1|\mathbb{1})} & \frac{1}{c^p(x_1|\mathbb{1})}x_1 \\ \frac{1}{c^p(x_1|\mathbb{1})}x_1^T & -\frac{1}{c^{2p}(x_1|\mathbb{1})} \end{bmatrix},$$

   where $x_1 = D_p(X_1)^{-1}\mathbb{1}$.

Proof. assertion 1: Since $M_p(X_1)M_p(X_2) \leq \left(\frac{M_p(X_1)+M_p(X_2)}{2}\right)^2$ we get $M_p(X_1)M_p(X_2) < c^{2p}$. Recall that $M_p(X_1) = (x_1|\mathbb{1})^{-1}$ and $M_p(X_2) = (x_2|\mathbb{1})^{-1}$ and hence we are done by Lemma 6.3 part 1.

assertion 2: Since $(D_p(X_1)^{-1}\mathbb{1}|\mathbb{1}) > 0$, we can apply Lemma 6.3 part 2.

□

Let A be a real symmetric invertible $n\times n$ matrix with $(A^{-1}\mathbb{1}|\mathbb{1})\neq 0$. We define the $n\times n$ matrix $\hat{A}$ by

$$\hat{A} = \frac{(A^{-1}\mathbb{1})(A^{-1}\mathbb{1})^T}{(A^{-1}\mathbb{1}|\mathbb{1})} - A^{-1}.$$

Lemma 6.5. *(The proof is longer, but straight forward)*

1. *Let A_1, A_2, c, x_1, x_2 and B be defined as in Lemma 6.3 part 1. Further assume that $(x_1|\mathbb{1})\neq 0$, $(x_2|\mathbb{1})\neq 0$ and $2c\neq (x_1|\mathbb{1})^{-1}+(x_2|\mathbb{1})^{-1}$.*

   Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{B}z|z) &= (\hat{A}_1x|x) + (\hat{A}_2y|y) + \\ &+ (2c-(x_1|\mathbb{1})^{-1}-(x_2|\mathbb{1})^{-1})^{-1}\cdot\left(\left(\frac{x_1}{(x_1|\mathbb{1})}\middle|x\right) - \left(\frac{x_2}{(x_2|\mathbb{1})}\middle|y\right)\right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

   for all $z=(x^T,y^T)^T$, x in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and y in $\mathbb{R}^m$.

2. *Let A, c, x and B be defined as in Lemma 6.3 part 2. Further assume that $2c \neq (x|\mathbb{1})^{-1}$. Then we have*

$$(\hat{B}z|z) = (\hat{A}u|u) + (2c - (x|\mathbb{1})^{-1})^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{x}{(x|\mathbb{1})}\Big|u\right) - v\right)^2,$$

for all $z = (u^T, v)^T$, u in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and v in $\mathbb{R}$.

Corollary 6.6. *1. Let (X_1, d_1), (X_2, d_2), $|X_1| \geq 2$, $|X_2| \geq 2$ be two finite metric spaces of strict p-negative type. Further let c be a real number with $2c \geq \max(\Delta(X_1), \Delta(X_2)$ and $2c^p > M_p(X_1) + M_p(X_2)$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}(D_p\widehat{(X_1cX_2)}z|z) &= (\widehat{D_p(X_1)}x|x) + (\widehat{D_p(X_2)}y|y) + \\ &+ (2c^p - M_p(X_1) - M_p(X_2))^{-1} \cdot ((u_p^1|x) - (u_p^2|y))^2,\end{aligned}$$

for all $z = (x^T, y^T)^T$, x in $\mathbb{R}^n$, y in $\mathbb{R}^m$, where $D_p(X_1)u_p^1 = M_p(X_1)\mathbb{1}$, $D_p(X_2)u_p^2 = M_p(X_2)\mathbb{1}$, u_p^1, u_p^2 in F_1 (see Remark 3.10).

2. *Let (X_1, d_1), (X_2, d_2), $|X_1| \geq 2$, $|X_2| = 1$ be two finite metric spaces of strict p-negative type. Further let c be a real number with $2c \geq \Delta(X_1)$ and $2c^p > M_p(X_1)$. Then we have*

$$(D_p\widehat{(X_1cX_2)}z|z) = (\widehat{D_p(X_1)}u|u) + (2c^p - M_p(X_1))^{-1}((u_p^1|u) - v)^2,$$

for all $z = (u^T, v)^T$, u in $\mathbb{R}^n$, v in $\mathbb{R}$, where $D_p(X_1)u_p^1 = M_p(X_1)\mathbb{1}$, u_p^1 in F_1 (see Remark 3.10).

Proof. The assertions follow by Corollary 6.4 and Lemma 6.5.

□

Theorem 6.7. *Let (X_1, d_1), (X_2, d_2), $\max(|X_1|, |X_2|) \geq 2$, be two finite metric spaces of strict p-negative type. Let u_p^1, u_p^2 be the uniquely defined elements in F_1 such that $D_p(X_k)u_p^k = M_p(X_k)\mathbb{1}$, $k = 1, 2$, where $M_p(X_k) = \sup_{x \in F_1}(D_p(X_k)x|x)$ and $M_P(X_k) = (D_p(X_k)^{-1}\mathbb{1}|\mathbb{1})^{-1}$, if $|X_k| \geq 2$. Further let c be a real number with $2c \geq \max(\Delta(X_1), \Delta(X_2))$ and $2c^p > M_p(X_1) + M_p(X_2)$. Then we have*

$$\left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(X_1,p)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(X_2,p)} + \alpha\right)^{-1} \leq \Gamma(X_1cX_2, p) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(X_1,p)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(X_2,p)}\right)^{-1},$$

with

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{(\|u_p^1\|_1 + \|u_p^2\|_1)^2}{2c^p - M_p(X_1) - M_p(X_2)}$$

Note that $M_p(\{x\}) = 0$ *and* $\Gamma(\{x\}, p) = \infty$, *so* $\Gamma(\{x\}, p)^{-1} := 0$.

Proof. It is shown in Theorem 3.5 in [19] that $\Gamma(X, p) = \frac{2}{\beta(X,p)}$, with $\beta(X, p) = \max_{z \in \{-1,1\}^n} (\widehat{D_p(X)z|z})$, for (X, d) a finite metric space of strict p-negative type of at least two points. Applying Corollary 6.6 we are done.

□

7 An application to finite ultrametric spaces

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Recall that d is an ultrametric on X, ((X, d) is called an ultrametric space) if $d(x, y) \leq \max(d(x, z), d(z, y))$ for all x, y, z in X. Further recall that a real symmetric $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ with nonnegative entries is called strictly ultrametric if

1. $a_{ij} \geq \min\{a_{ik}, a_{kj}\}$, for all i, j, k and
2. $a_{ii} > \max\{a_{ij}, j \neq i\}$, for all i

holds.

The following result was shown in [5]:

Lemma 7.1. *Let (X, d) be a finite ultrametric space. Then we have*

1. *(X, d^p) is a finite ultrametric space, for each $p > 0$*
2. *(X, d) is of strict p-negative type, for each $p > 0$.*

Lemma 7.2. *Let (X, d), $|X| = n$ be a finite ultrametric space with p-distance matrix $D_p(X)$ and diameter $\Delta(X)$. Then we have $\Delta(X)^p \mathbb{1}\mathbb{1}^T - D_p(X)$ is strictly ultrametric for each $p > 0$.*

Proof. Follows by definition and Lemma 7.1 part 1.

Lemma 7.3. *Let (X, d) be a finite ultrametric of at least two points. Then we have*

1. *$D_p(X)$ is nonsingular*
2. *The entries of $D_p(X)^{-1}\mathbb{1}$ are all positive.*

Proof. By Lemma 7.1 part 2, we know that $D_p(X)^{-1}$ exists. It is shown in [10] (Lemma 1) that for each strictly ultrametric $n \times n$ matrix A there exists some x_0 in $\mathbb{R}^n$, such that $Ax_0 = \mathbb{1}$ and $(x_0|e_i) > 0$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.
Applying Lemma 7.2 we get some x_0 in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $(\Delta(X)^p \mathbb{1}\mathbb{1}^T - D_p(X))x_0 = \mathbb{1}$. Hence $D_p(X)x_0 = (\Delta(X)^p(x_0|\mathbb{1}) - 1)\mathbb{1}$. Since $(x_0|e_i) > 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ we get $(D_p(X)x_0|e_i) > 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and hence $\Delta(X)^p(x_0|\mathbb{1}) - 1 > 0$. Therefore all entries of $D_p(X)^{-1}\mathbb{1} = (\Delta(X)^p(x_0|\mathbb{1}) - 1)^{-1}x_0$ are positive.

□

Lemma 7.4. *Let (X, d), $|X| = n$ be a finite ultrametric space. Then we have $M_p(X) \leq \frac{n-1}{n}\Delta(X)^p$, for each $p > 0$.*

Proof. Since $M_p(\{x\}) = 0$ we may assume that $n \geq 2$. Let u_p be the unique element in F_1, such that $D_p(X)u_p = M_p(X)\mathbb{1}$ (see Remark 3.10). Now $D_p(X)^{-1}\mathbb{1} = M_p(X)^{-1}u_p$. Let $u_p^T = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)$. By Lemma 7.3 part 2 we have $\alpha_i > 0$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_n = 1$, since u_p in F_1. Therefore

$$M_p(X) = (D_p(X)u_p|u_p) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} d(x_i, x_j)^p \alpha_i \alpha_j \leq$$

$$\leq \Delta(X)^p \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i(1 - \alpha_i) = \Delta(X)^p(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^2).$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^2 \geq \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i\right)^2 = \frac{1}{n},$$

we are done.

□

The following assertion is clear by definition of ultrametric spaces:

Remark 7.5. Let (X_1, d_1) and (X_2, d_2) be two finite ultrametric spaces, such that $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$ and let c be a real number with $c \geq \max(\Delta(X_1), \Delta(X_2))$. Then we have: $X_1 c X_2$ is a finite ultrametric space.

The next result is a kind of converse to Remark 7.5 and was shown in order to decompose strictly ultrametric metrices (see Propostion 2.1 in [11]).

We translate the proof given in [11] into the language of ultrametric spaces:

Theorem 7.6. *Let (X, d) be a finite ultrametric space of at least two points. Then there are subspaces X_1, X_2 of X such that*

1. $X_1 \neq \emptyset$, $X_2 \neq \emptyset$, $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$, $X_1 \cup X_2 = X$
2. $X = X_1 \Delta(X) X_2$

Proof. Take two points $x_1 \neq x_2$ in X such that $d(x_1, x_2) = \Delta(X)$. Let $X_1 = \{x \in X | d(x, x_1) < \Delta(X)\}$ and $X_2 = \{x \in X | d(x, x_1) = \Delta(X)\}$. Since $x_1 \in X_1$ and $x_2 \in X_2$ we get $X_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $X_2 \neq \emptyset$. Of course we have $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$ and $X_1 \cup X_2 = X$. Now let z_1, z_2 be two points in X with $z_1 \in X_1$ and $z_2 \in X_2$.

$\Delta(X) = d(z_2, x_1) \leq \max(d(z_2, z_1), d(z_1, x_1))$. Since $d(z_1, x_1) < \Delta(X)$ we get $d(z_1, z_2) = \Delta(X)$. Hence $X = X_1 \Delta(X) X_2$.

□

Theorem 7.7. *Let (X, d) be a finite ultrametric space of at least two points. Let $X = X_1 \Delta(X) X_2$ acording to Theorem 7.6. Then we have*

$$\left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(X_1, p)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(X_2, p)} + \alpha \right)^{-1} \leq \Gamma(X, p) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(X_1, p)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(X_2, p)} \right)^{-1}$$

with

$$\alpha = \frac{2}{2\Delta(X)^p - \frac{|X_1|-1}{|X_1|}\Delta(X_1)^p - \frac{|X_2|-1}{|X_1|}\Delta(X_2)^p} \leq \frac{|X|}{\Delta(X)^p},$$

where $\Gamma(\{x\}, p)^{-1} = 0$.

Proof. Applying Theorem 6.7 it remains to show that

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{(\|u_p^1\|_1 + \|u_p^2\|_1)^2}{2\Delta(X)^p - M_p(X_1) - M_p(X_2)} \leq \alpha.$$

For one point spaces we have $u_p = 1$ and $M_p(\{x\}) = 0$. If X_i has at least two points, we apply Lemma 7.3 and obtain that all entries of $u_p^i = M_p(X_i) D_p(X_i)^{-1} \mathbb{1}$ are positive and hence $\|u_p^i\|_1 = 1$, since u_p^i in F_1. Lemma 7.4 implies $M_p(X_i) \leq \frac{|X_i|-1}{|X_i|}\Delta(X_i)^p$.

Summing up we get $\|u_p^1\|_1 = \|u_p^2\|_1 = 1$ and $2\Delta(X)^p - M_p(X_1) - M_p(X_2) \geq 2\Delta(X)^p - \frac{|X_1|-1}{|X_1|}\Delta(X_1)^p - \frac{|X_2|-1}{|X_2|}\Delta(X_2)^p$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{(\|u_p^1\|_1 + \|u_p^2\|_1)^2}{2\Delta(X)^p - M_p(X_1) - M_p(X_2)} \leq \\ &\quad \leq \frac{2}{2\Delta(X)^p - \frac{|X_1|-1}{|X_1|}\Delta(X_1)^p - \frac{|X_2|-1}{|X_2|}\Delta(X_2)^p} = \alpha \leq \frac{|X|}{\Delta(X)^p}. \end{aligned}$$

□

We now estimate $\Gamma(X,p)$ for a concrete finite ultrametric space acording to Theorem 7.7. First recall that for a given finite connected edge-weighted graph $G=(V,E,w)$, $w: E\to\mathbb{R}^+$, $d: V\times V\to\mathbb{R}$, $d(u,v)=\min\{\bar{w}(W), W \text{ a walk connecting } u \text{ and } v\}$ with $\bar{w}(W)=\max\{w(e), e \text{ a edge on } W\}$ the space (V,d) is a finite ultrametric space.

Example 7.8. Let $G=(V,E,w)$ be:

$V=\{a,b,c,d,e,f,g\}$,
$E=\{\{a,b\},\{b,c\},\{c,d\},\{c,e\},\{e,f\},\{f,g\}\}$,
$w(\{c,d\})=w(\{e,f\})=1$
$w(\{a,b\})=w(\{b,c\})=2$
$w(\{c,e\})=3$
$w(\{f,g\})=4$.

The distance matrix of (V,d) is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4\\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4\\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 4\\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 4\\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 4\\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 4\\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we get $V=\{a,b,c,d,e,f,g\}=\{a,b,c,d,e,f\}4\{g\}$
$\{a,b,c,d,e,f\}=\{a,b,c,d\}3\{e,f\}$
$\{a,b,c,d\}=\{a,b\}2\{c,d\}$ by Theorem 7.6.

Let $V_1=\{a,b,c,d,e,f\}$, $V_2=\{g\}$, $V_3=\{a,b,c,d\}$, $V_4=\{e,f\}$, $V_5=\{a,b\}$, $V_6=\{c,d\}$.

Applying Theorem 7.7 we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(V_1,p)}\le\frac{1}{\Gamma(V,p)}\le\frac{1}{\Gamma(V_1,p)}+\frac{7}{4^p}$$
$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(V_3,p)}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(V_4,p)}\le\frac{1}{\Gamma(V_1,p)}\le\frac{1}{\Gamma(V_3,p)}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(V_4,p)}+\frac{6}{3^p}$$
$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(V_5,p)}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(V_6,p)}\le\frac{1}{\Gamma(V_3,p)}\le\frac{1}{\Gamma(V_5,p)}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(V_6,p)}+\frac{4}{2^p}$$

Summing up we get

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(V_4,p)}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(V_5,p)}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(V_6,p)}\le\frac{1}{\Gamma(V,p)}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(V_4,p)}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(V_5,p)}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(V_6,p)}+\frac{4}{2^p}+\frac{6}{3^p}+\frac{7}{4^p}$$

Since $\Gamma(V_5, p) = \Gamma(2 \cdot X_2, p) = 2^p \Gamma(X_2, p) = 2^p$, X_2 the discrete space consisting of two points, we get

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(V,p)} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(V_4,p)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(V_6,p)},$$

where V_4 and V_6 are the maximal (with respect to inclusion) discrete subspaces of at least two points of V.

The last limit equation is not a coincidence:

Recently the following beautiful formula was shown by Doust, Sánchez and Weston.

Theorem 7.9. *(=Theorem 1.5 in [4]) Let (X, d) be a finite ultrametric space with at least two points and minimum non-zero distance α. If $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_e$ are the distinct coteries of (X, d) then*

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \frac{\Gamma(X,p)}{\alpha^p} = \left(\frac{1}{\gamma(|B_1|)} + \ldots + \frac{1}{\gamma(|B_e|)} \right)^{-1}$$

with

$$\gamma(n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor^{-1} + \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil^{-1} \right)$$

and a coterie of (X, d) is defined as $\{x \in X | d(x, y) \leq \alpha\}$, for some z in X with $|\{x \in X | d(x, y) \leq \alpha\}| \geq 2$.

Remark 7.10. Let (X, d) be a finite ultrametric space of at least two points and the distinct distances in (X, d) are given by $0 = \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \ldots < \alpha_k = \Delta(X)$. We assume $1 = \alpha_1 < \Delta(X)$ $\quad (\frac{\Gamma(X,p)}{\alpha_1^p} = \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_1} \cdot X, p\right))$.

Applying Theorem 7.7 a several times, we get

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(X,p)} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{e} \frac{1}{\gamma(|B_i|)} \right) \leq \frac{A}{\alpha_2^p},$$

where $A > 0$ is independent of p and $B_1, \ldots, B_e, \gamma$ defined as in Theorem 7.9 ($B_i = X_{|B_i|}$ and $\gamma(|B_i|) = \Gamma(X_{|B_i|}, p)$, for all $p > 0$).

Hence

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(X,p)} = \sum_{i=1}^{e} \frac{1}{\gamma(|B_i|)}$$

and the rate of convergence is at least α_2^{-p}.

References

[1] *I. P. Crouzeix, I. A. Ferland, Criteria for quasiconvexity and pseudoconvexity, relationship and comparisons, Math. Programming 23 (1982) 193-205.*

[2] *I. Doust, A. Weston, Enhanced negative type for finite metric trees, J. Funct. Anal. 254 (2008) 2336-2364.*

[3] *I. Doust, A. Weston, Corrigendum to enhanced negative type for finite metric trees, J. Funct. Anal. 255 (2008) 532-533.*

[4] *I. Doust, S. Sánchez, A. Weston, The asymptotic enhanced negative type of finite ultrametric spaces, arXiv: 1308.1185v3 [math. MG] 16Jan2015.*

[5] *T. Faver, K. Kochalski, M. K. Murugan, H. Verheggen, E. Wesson, A. Weston, Roundness properties of ultrametric spaces, Glasgow Math. Jour. 56 (2014) 519-535.*

[6] *T. L. Hayden, R. Reams, I. Wells, Methods for constructing distance matrices and the inverse eigenvalue problem, Linear Algebra and its Applications 295 (1999), 97-112.*

[7] *T. L. Hayden, P. Tarazaga, I. Wells, Circum-Euclidean distance matrices and faces, Linear Algebra and its applications 232 (1996) 77-96.*

[8] *P. Hjorth, P. Lisonek, Steen Markvorsen, Carsten Thomassen, finite metric spaces of strictly negative type, Linear Algebra Appl. 270 (1998) 255-273.*

[9] *H. Li, A. Weston, Strict p-negative type of a metric space, Positivity 14(3) (2010) 529-545.*

[10] *S. Martinez, G. Michon, I. San Martin, Inverse of strictly ultrametric matrices are of Stieltjes type, Siam J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 15 (1994) 98-106.*

[11] *R. Nabben, R. S. Varga, A linear algebra proof that the inverse of a strictly ultrametric matrix is a strictly diagonally dominant Stieltjes matrix, Siam J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 15(1) (1994) 107-113.*

[12] *P. Nickolas, R. Wolf, Distance geometry in quasihypermetric spaces. I, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 80 (2009) 1-25.*

[13] *P. Nickolas, R. Wolf, Distance geometry in quasihypermetric spaces. II, Math. Nachr. 284 (2011) 332-341.*

[14] *P. Nickolas, R. Wolf, Distance geometry in quasihypermetric spaces. III, Math. Nachr. 284 (2001) 747-760.*

[15] *P. Nickolas, R. Wolf, Finite quasihypermetric spaces, Acta Math. Hungar. 124 (3) (2009) 243-262.*

[16] *S. Sánchez, On the supremal p-negative type of finite metric spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 389(1) (2012) 98-107.*

[17] *S. Sánchez, Additive combination spaces, Positivity 19 (2015) 395-417.*

[18] *A. Weston, Optimal lower bound on the supremal strict p-negative type of a finite metric space, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 80 (2009) 486-497.*

[19] *R. Wolf, On the gap of finite metric spaces of p-negative type, Linear Algebra and its Applications 436 (2012) 1246-1257.*